Molecular-Scale Biophysics ** End User Short Course Research Infrastructure

Quality control for Integral Membrane Proteins

12rd-14th September 2022 EMBL Hamburg (c/o DESY), Hamburg, Germany (EMBL-SPC)



EMBL SPC Services enabling structural biology research

Expertise in Sample preparation & Biophysics *Consulting, Training, Data analysis, Remote access*



Biophysical platform

HTX Laboratory

Data analysis platform



Angelica Struve



Stephan Niebling



Katharina Veith



David Ruiz Carrillo



Osvaldo Burastero

High-throughput Crystallization Facility



Molecular Biophysics Platform



Integrated Biophysical pipeline services



Funding to access the facilities



Methods development

- High-throughput stability screening for detergent-solubilized membrane proteins. Kotov V, et al. *Sci Rep*. 2019
- Cyclohexyl-α maltoside as a highly efficient tool for membrane protein studies. Missel JW, et al. *Curr Res Struct Biol.* 2021
- FoldAffinity: binding affinities from nDSF experiments. Niebling S, et al. Sci Rep. 2021.
- In-depth interrogation of protein thermal unfolding data with MoltenProt. Kotov V, et al. *Protein Sci.* 2021
- eSPC: an online data-analysis platform for molecular biophysics. Burastero O, et al. Acta Crystallogr D. 2021
- Biophysical screening pipeline for cryo-EM grid preparation of membrane proteins. Niebling et al. Front. Mol. Bio. 2022



Stephan Niebling STO

Osvaldo Burastero ARISE Fellow

Quality control of purified protein Best practice recommendations

Guideline

Minimal quality control parameters that should be tested on protein sample

- Purity & integrity
- Homogeneity (aggregation state)
- Identity

Extended quality control parameters

- General quality test by UV spectroscopy
- Homogeneity Conformational stability/folding state
- Optimization of storage conditions
- Batch-to-batch consistency

ARBRE-MOBIEU (Association of Resources for Biophysical research in Europe – MOlecular BIophysic in EUrope) and P4EU (Protein Production and Purification Partnership in EUrope)

IMPs: helical bundle and β-barrel membrane proteins



 α -helical bundles

- TM segments of proteins utilize secondary structure to satisfy the hydrogen bond needs
- Two general classes of integral membrane proteins (IMPs):
- α-helical bundles: e.g. photosynthetic reaction centre (left)
- β-barrels: e.g. maltoporin trimer (right)

Folding and insertion of helical bundle and β-barrel membrane proteins utilize different mechanisms



- Membrane protein folding *in vitro*
- Complex cellular process of translocation and integration of nascent proteins into the membrane (*in-vivo*)

Biophysical methods for protein characterisation of membrane proteins...







α -Hemolysin CD spectra





Folding and insertion of helical bundle and β-barrel membrane proteins utilize different mechanisms



- Membrane protein folding *in vitro*
- Complex cellular process of translocation and integration of nascent proteins into the membrane (*in-vivo*)

Different modes of binding amphitropic proteins to membranes to regulate their activities



- Electrostatic interaction between polybasic protein motif and anionic lipid; coupled with lipid covalent anchor insertion (e.g. cytochrome c, myelin basic protein)
- Binding via lipid clamp, a binding pocket for a specific lipid headgroup (e.g. PLA₂)
- Insertion into the bilayer of an amphipathic α-helix (can be autoinhibitory in soluble form)

Clathrin Mediated Endocytosis in Yeast



Weinberg, J, et al. Trends in Cell Biology, 2012

The role of an Adaptor complex in membrane remodelling



Lizarrondo et al. Nat Commun. 2021

The role of the AENTH complex in membrane remodelling



Conclusion:

Mutations impairing complex formation are unable to induce tubulation.

Insertion into the bilayer: Induction of an amphipathic α -helix



Protein-lipid-protein interfaces



Isothermal Titration Calorimetry



ITC of ANTH-ENTH2 interaction in the presence of 0.15 mM DDM and 50 uM PIP2 (A) and without PIP2 (B).

Garcia-Alai et al. Nat Commun. 2018

Differential Scanning Fluorimetry



48-96 samples

Intrinsic Fluorescence







tryptophan fluorenscence at 330nm and 350nm wavelength.

Backreflection Optics



Tm & Tagg



0.0

20 30

80 90

40 50 60 70 80 90 Temperature / °C

0.0

20 30

40 50 60 70 Temperature / °C

Sypro Orange/ ANS fluorescent properties will change as it binds to hydrophobic regions on the protein surface



FIGURE 7: ANS binding of E7 after the GdmCl-induced conformational transition at the different denaturant concentrations indicated.

Not compatible with detergents!!!

What happens with our "unfolding reporters" in the presence of detergents?



ANS, Sypro, etc.



=



Ways & Means

Microscale Fluorescent Thermal Stability Assay for Membrane Proteins

Alexander I. Alexandrov,¹ Mauro Mileni,¹ Ellen Y.T. Chien,¹ Michael A. Hanson,¹ and Raymond C. Stevens^{1,*}





- thiol-specific fluorochrome (CPM)
- The screen uses the chemical reactivity of the native cysteines embedded in amphipathic helices as a sensor for the overall integrity of the folded state.
- CPM is nonfluorescent in its unbound form



Figure 1. Thermal Stability Profiles of B-LG, FAAH, and APJ Receptor

Thermofluor screen

	1	2	3	4	5	6	
Α	water (ctrl)	10mM Hepes pH 7.5	50mM Hepes pH 7.5	100mM Hepes pH 7.5	150mM Hepes pH 7.5	250mM Hepes pH 7.5	
В	50mM NaCl,	100mM NaCl,	250mM NaCl ,	500mM NaCl,	750mM NaCl,	1000mM NaCl,	
	50mM Hepes pH 7.5	50mM Hepes pH 7.5	50mM Hepes pH 7.5	50mM Hepes pH 7.5	50mM Hepes pH 7.5	50mM Hepes pH 7.5	
С	100mM Magic Buffer	100mM Magic Buffer	100mM Magic Buffer	100mM Magic Buffer	100mM Magic Buffer	100mM Magic Buffer	
	pH 4.0	pH 5.0	pH 6.0	pH 7.0	pH 8.0	pH 9.0	
D	100mM MES	100mM Bis-Tris	100mM Na Phosphate	100mM PBS	100mM Tris-HCl	100mM Bicine	
	pH 6.0	pH 6.5	pH 7.0	pH 7.4	pH 7.5	pH 8.0	
E	100mM imidazole,	250mM imidazole,	500mM imidazole , 50mM	5% (v/v) glycerol,	10% (v/v) glycerol,	15% (v/v) glycero l,	
	50mM Hepes pH 7.5	50mM Hepes pH 7.5	Hepes pH 7.5	50mM Hepes pH 7.5	50mM Hepes pH 7.5	50mM Hepes pH 7.5	
F	100mM KCl,	100mM NH₄Cl,	100mM LiCl,	10mM MgCl₂,	10mM CaCl₂,	1mM EDTA,	
	50mM Hepes pH 7.5	50mM Hepes pH 7.5	50mM Hepes pH 7.5	50mM Hepes pH 7.5	50mM Hepes pH 7.5	50mM Hepes pH 7.5	

"Magic Buffer" = does not exist, you need to screen!

DSF workflow



Fluorescence raw data



Kotov et al. Sci Rep. 2019

First derivative



Kotov et al. Sci Rep. 2019

Tm vs Tonset



Kotov et al. Sci Rep. 2019

Data Analysis

- screening96 detergents
- A2 is the starting condition, in our case DDM
- Calculation of Tm
- Stable
- Unstable
- No fit

Kotov et al. Scientific Reports 2019



Online Server: eSPC



Burastero, et al. Acta Crystallogr D. 2021

Biophysical characterisation of a membrane remodelling complex







WT

Y100A

Y100R

E107A

F108A

Empty

Lizarrondo et al. Nat Commun. 2021

Detergent phase diagram



- Micelle formation dependent on temperature
- CMT: temperature above which micelles form
- Krafft point (cloud point): temperature at which a turbid solution becomes clear due to micelle formation
- Krafft point: intersection of lines for CMC and CMT
- Example: precipitation of SDS below 4°C

Choice of detergent for protein stability and success in crystallization



- Sometimes detergent used for purification is not optimal for crystallization (screening required)
- A) membrane protein stability is assayed by measuring unfolding at 40°C
- B) stability judged by unfolding rates in LDAO correlates to resolution of membrane protein structures



Dynamic Light Scattering

First, the Intensity Correlation Function, $G_2(\tau)$

Describes the rate of change in scattering intensity by comparing the intensity at time t to the intensity at a later time $(t + \tau)$, providing a quantitative measurement of the flickering of the light

Mathematically, the correlation function is written as an integral over the product of intensities at some time and with some delay time, τ

$$G_2(\tau) = \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T I(t) I(t+\tau) d\tau$$

Which can be visualized as taking the intensity at I(t) times the intensity at $I(t+\tau)$ - red), followed by the same product at I(t+t')blue, and so on...



The auto correlation function

The Intensity Correlation Function has the form of an exponential decay



Rate of the decay depends on the particle size



Bimodal distribution



To be reliable the sizes must be ~5X different

DLS workflow



Niebling & Burastero, under revision

Dynamic Light Scattering at different Temperatures



Intensity Correlation Function

[ENTH: SLA2: PiP2]≅ 1:1:20

Thermal stability of the AENTH complex

Following protein aggregation by DLS



The AENTH complex is more stable than the ENTH-PIP2

Increase in Rh as a function of temperature monitored by DLS

Garcia-Alai et al. Nat Commun. 2018

Mass Photometry on Membrane proteins

saposins + lipids

- For complexes: *K_d* in nM range or lower
- Buffer: Fresh and filtered (0.22 μm)

Sample – TolC in 0.3% DDM (theor. mass: 161 kDa)

dilution in buffer without DDM 0.0003 % DDM

	Critical micelle concentration								
Relative concentration	1	5	20	100	500	2000			
SDS	0.082	0.41	1.64	8.2	41	164	mM		
	N/A	70	70	170	180	180	kDa		
ром	0.0012	0.006	0.024	0.12	0.6	2.4	ηM		
DDM	N/A	N/A	N/A	560	560	560	D		
00	0.23	1.15	4.6	23	115	460	m٢		
00	N/A	N/A	N/A	220	460	760	kD		
Digitonin	0.004	0.02	0.08	0,4	2	8	mM		
Digitonin	N/A	60	240	900	910	1170	kD		
NP-40	0.0008	0.004	0.016	0.08	0.4	1.6	mΜ		
INF-40	N/A	50	90	260	430	430	kD		
TurneRad	0.00059	0.00295	0.0118	0.059	0.295	1.18	mM		
Tween 20	90	120	240	430	430	430	kD:		

without DDM 5 h

Mass Photometry on Membrane proteins

IJ1 (abc transporter) in "detergent-free" buffer

a. Measurement using a protein final DDM concentration is 0.0009% and 40 nM protein.

b. final DDM concentration is 0.00015% and 80 nM protein.

c. and d. Control experiments using similar DDM concentrations and no protein.
e. and f. show the mass histograms different concentrations of LMNG as detergent at a final protein concentration of 40 nM.
g. and h. Amphipol solubilized

Niebling et al. Front. Mol. Bio. 2022

Mass Photometry workflow

Niebling & Burastero, under revision

The complete pipeline

Niebling *et al.* Front. Mol. Bio. 2022

Following oligomerisation by DSF, DLS and Mass Photometry

Garcia-Alai et al. Nat Commun. 2018

Niebling et al. Front. Mol. Bio. 2022

eSPC Online Data Analysis Platform for molecular biophysics

The EMBL Sample Preparation and Characterisation (SPC) Data Analytics Webserver provides easy to use software for the understanding of biophysical experiments.

Differential Scanning Fluorimetry

Estimating binding affinites by isothermal analysis. Analyse protein-ligand titration curves, fit fluorescence curves, calculate KDs. Assessing protein stability under different conditions. Calculate Tm. Tonset. DH.

MicroScale Thermophoresis

Estimating binding affinites by thermophoresis shift or initial fluorescence analysis.

Kotov *et al.,* Sci. Rep. **2019** Kotov *et al.,* Prot. Sci. **2020** Burastero et al. Acta Crystallogr D. **2021** Niebling et al. Sci Rep. **2021**

spc.embl-hamburg.de

Research in Germany Land of Ideas @ResearchGermany

The @SPC_EMBL_HH has developed eSPC – a free online platform for analysing data from diverse biophysics experiments. It enables scientists across fields

to analyse their data much easier than before, and remotely without the need to travel.

embl.org/news/science/b...

Estimating binding affinities by Isothermal analysis

Niebling et al, in review

